

Publication ethics and malpractice statement

Restorative Dentistry and Endodontics (*Restor Dent Endod*) is committed to ensuring ethics in publication and quality of articles.

Conformation to standards of ethical behavior is therefore expected of all parties involved: Authors, Editors, Reviewers, and the Publisher.

For the policies on research and publication ethics that are not stated in these instructions, the Good Publication Practice Guidelines for Medical Journals or the Guidelines on Good Publication Practice can be applied.

For Authors

1) Authorship

- Authors are obliged to participate in peer review process.
- Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. The corresponding author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in approving the final version of the paper and its submission for publication.
- Changes to authorship (the addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship of accepted manuscript) needs to be approved by the Editor-in-Chief after a written confirmation by a corresponding author including the reason the name should be rearranged and all the signature of co-authors.

2) Conflict-of-Interest Statement

- All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

3) Originality and Duplicate Publication

- Authors should present an objective discussion of the significance of research work as well as sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the experiments.
- When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.
- The authors should ensure that their work is entirely original works, all data in the article are real and

authentic, and if the work and/or words of others have been used, this has been appropriately acknowledged.

- Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Authors should not submit articles describing essentially the same research to more than one journal.

4) Plagiarism

- Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.
- Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.
- All submissions to *Restor Dent Endod* will be automatically screened using CrossCheck, a plagiarism screening service powered by iThenticate, before being sent to reviewers.

5) Statement of human and animal rights

- Any experiment involving human and animal subjects will be published only if such research has been conducted in full accordance with ethical principles and guidelines, including the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (version 2008) and the additional requirements, if any, of the country where the research has been carried out.
- All studies using human or animal subjects should include an explicit statement in the Materials and Methods section identifying the review and ethics committee approval for each study, if applicable.
- Either a copy of the informed consent form or a written exemption approval should be attached to the manuscript. Manuscript must be accompanied by a statement in the cover letter that the experiments were undertaken with the understanding and written consent of each subject and according to the above mentioned principles.

6) Reporting Clinical Trials

- Randomized controlled trials should be presented according to the CONSORT guidelines.
- The CONSORT checklist can be found on <http://www.consort-statement.org>

7) Dealing with unethical behavior

- If unethical behavior is recognized by sufficient evidence at any point, editors will investigate the manuscript or published paper and may decide the

outcome.

- Depending on the severity of malpractice, the following actions can be applied.
 - A warning letter to corresponding authors
 - Public notification about misconduct in Editorial section and title homepage
 - Notification to National Research Foundation of Korea
 - Decline of submitted manuscript
 - Retraction of published paper
- In case of malpractice, authors may be banned from future submission for at least three years, unless permitted by the Editor-in-Chief

For Reviewers

- Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must not be used for personal advantage.
- Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments, so that authors can use them for improving the paper. Reviewers are asked to maintain a positive and impartial, but critical attitude in evaluating manuscript. Offensive language is not acceptable. Reviewers should suggest relevant published work which may be cited.
- Any selected reviewer who has a conflict of interest with the research, the authors or the research funders should notify the editor and excuse himself/herself from the review process.

For Editors and Publishers

- Editors of *Restor Dent Endod* are responsible for deciding which of the submitted manuscripts are accepted for publication and changes in authorship if requested by a corresponding author need to be approved by the Editor-in-Chief.
- Editors should evaluate manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit. The validation of the work in question and its importance should drive such decisions. And Editors should protect the anonymity of the reviewers.
- Editors should monitor any plagiarism, including screening by a plagiarism detecting software, or no fraudulent data and take reasonable responsive measures such as publication of correction or retraction when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper.
- Editors should not have any conflict of interest with respect to the manuscripts they accept/reject.
- The *Restor Dent Endod* publishers and editors should monitor the publishing ethics including plagiarism,

any commercial involvement etc. and always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The publisher should provide authors proper reasons for retracting articles if any retraction is decided.

- Statements and opinions expressed in the articles and communications herein are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the editor(s) or publisher, and the editor(s) and publisher disclaim any responsibility or liability for such material. Neither the editor(s) nor the publisher guarantees, warrants, or endorses any product or service advertised in this publication. Neither do they guarantee any claim made by the manufacturer of such product or service.